


SOCIO-ENGINEERING PROBLEMS

CONTENTS:

Prob. 4.1: Energy Production	1
Review/Soc-EnginProbs No.1	1
DAEDALUS	2
The Decline of Greatness	2
Prob.1.2:Nature of Soc. Res.	4
Afraid of Religion?	3
Engin's Urged To Explain Role	3
Prob. 4.2 BIBLIOGRAPHY	4-9
Probs. 4.3-4.5 SocRespon	10-12
Steinmetz: BoyScoutOath	12



JAN-MAR
1959
No. 4

All Rights Reserved By
Frederick B. Wood, P.E.
1346 Lanford Avenue
San Jose 15, California, U.S.A.

SOCIO-ENGINEERING PROBLEMS

A series of working paper drafts on the subject of the social relations of engineering. This series of reports on ideas developed in the pursuit of my hobby of considering the potential analogies of various engineering concepts in the social sciences as a way of establishing a technique for engineers to discharge their responsibility for the social use of their ideas and inventions. The function of this newsletter is to provide a limited distribution of some preliminary ideas for discussion prior to editing for submission to established journals and engineering societies. In some cases no formal publication is planned, since this medium of communication will be used to suggest ideas to universities and research institutes who are better prepared to develop the ideas.

Frederick B Wood

Engineers' Council for Professional Development:

"The engineer may be regarded, therefore, as an interpreter of science in terms of human needs and a manager of men, money, and materials in satisfying these needs."

This series deals with the function of the engineer as an "interpreter" on the assumption that other people are dealing with the management functions which many engineers acquire.

Problem 4.1: Are we increasing our knowledge of man and society fast enough to keep pace with the exponential rise in the annual production of energy? (COVER DIAGRAM)

The curves of world energy production are displayed on the cover of this issue to show how the curves are exponentially rising with time. Consideration of this fast rate of increase of world energy production raises questions as to whether mankind is also increasing the knowledge of man and society through the social sciences that is needed to harmoniously use this vast increase of our control over nature for the benefit of all mankind.

These curves are not guaranteed to be accurate, but have been spot checked for order of magnitude. These curves are an extension of those of Dr. Hogbon which were brought to my attention by Professor J. B. Condliffe. I have just recently become aware that similar exponentially rising curves of the number of inventions were important

1. Dr. Hogbon "Report of the committee for the study of the problem of raw materials, Appendix L: Development of world production of raw materials," League of Nations Official Journal, 1937 II B 7, Off. No. A.27.1937 II B, Annex 1682, pp. 1249-1267, Dec. 1937.

in the development of a theory of social change by William Fielding Ogburn in his book Social Change published in 1922. 2 I am indebted to Dr. Mervyn L. Cadwallader for bringing Ogburn's theory to my attention. 3 The curve marked "A Profile of the Number of Inventions and Discoveries" which I included in Socio-Engineering Problems No. 2, p. 1, was based upon curves of Pitirim A. Sorokin. 4

2. William F. Ogburn, Social Change, N.Y.: Viking Press, 1922.
3. Mervyn L. Cadwallader, "Some Problems in the Construction of Theories of Social Change," an unfinished paper presented for discussion at the Bay Area Meeting of the Society for General Systems Research, March 20, 1959.
4. Pitirim A. Sorokin, Society, Culture, and Personality: Their Structure and Dynamics - A System of General Sociology. N.Y.: Harper and Bros., 1947. pp. 607-619.

REVIEW OF SOCIO-ENGINEERING PROBLEMS NO: 1.

This is a summary of comments, new references, applications of the material, and the formulation of additional related problems.

Problem 1.1: How can engineers develop some kind of perspective to give them a synthesis of the specialized fields of science needed for them to fulfil their function as an interpreter of science in terms of human needs?

I have found that Stuart Chase is doing some interesting work on developing a perspective for the layman. His recent book Some Things Worth Knowing is an important contribution toward establishing a perspective. 5 An extension of work like this would help

5. Stuart Chase Some Things Worth Knowing - A Generalist's Guide To Useful Knowledge N.Y.: Harper and Bros., 1958.

establish a suitable perspective for engineers.


Some criticism has been received for using a "matrix" representation in Fig 2B, p. 9, which does not have the precise properties of a matrix in mathematics. Note also that Fig. 1 - A Three-Dimensional Chart has no fundamental quality which makes it three-dimensional. Strictly speaking it is only a two-dimensional chart which is folded for convenience. If Fig. 1 is unfolded into a two-dimensional chart then each section could be expanded like the three-dimensional chart of biology published by Gerard. 6 In Gerard's chart of biology the dimension "Mathematics-Chemistry-Physics- - - -Philosophy-History" corresponds approximately to the Columns of Fig. 1. The dimension "Environment Relations-Function- - - -Evolution" corresponds to dividing the level of biology into eight sub-levels. The extension of

6. R. W. Gerard "Concepts of Biology" Behavioral Science vol. 2, no. 2, April 1958, p. 196.

my general type of charts with detail similar to that of Gerard in connection with the text of Stuart Chase would be a useful elaboration of Stuart Chase's concept of a "generalist's guide."

Another important development is the announcement of Daedalus by the American Academy of Arts and Sciences. Starting with vol. 87, No. 1, of their Journal is a new series serving to give a perspective of the different fields of the arts and sciences.

DAEDALUS



I wish to congratulate the American Academy of Arts and Sciences for undertaking the publication of Daedalus. This publication should eventually answer part of problem 1.1.

Kirtley F. Mather in announcing the new DAEDALUS said "Thoughtful persons today are disturbed by the fragmentation of knowledge. From the founding of the academy in 1780, its Fellows have been "men of genius and learning," representative of all fields of intellectual endeavor. Today natural pressures of specialization have distributed new knowledge into many channels that are

deep, narrow, swift, and separated. Few intellectuals can see our whole culture in perspective. Recently the Academy has felt a growing responsibility to increase the integration of knowledge... .."

Subscriptions at \$4.50 per year through Wesleyan University Press, 356 Washington Street, Middletown, Connecticut.

Georgy Kenes choice of the cover design for Daedalus, the motif of the labyrinth seen from above, epitomizes what Daedalus may do: lift each of us above his cell in the labyrinth of learning in order that he may see the entire structure as if from above, where each separate part loses its comfortable separateness.

- - - - - ω / ∫ π √ ω / ∫ π √ - - - - -

THE DECLINE OF GREATNESS

Arthur M. Schlesinger, Jr. "The Decline of Greatness" The Saturday Evening Post, nov. 1, 1958, pp. 25, 68, 70-71.

This is a very significant article which deserves our careful consideration. Mr. Schlesinger starts out saying "Ours is an age without heroes -- and, when we say this, we suddenly realize how spectacularly the world has changed in a generation. Most of us grew up in a time of towering personalities. Some of these great men influenced the world for good, others for evil; but, whether for good or for evil, the fact that each had not died at birth made a difference, one believed, to every one who lived after them.

Today no one bestrides our narrow world like a colossus; we have no giants who play roles which one can imagine no one else playing in their stead." He further states:

"Obviously society has had to evolve collective institutions to cope with problems that have grown increasingly complex and concentrated. But the collective approach can be overdone. If Krushchev worried because his collectivist society developed a cult of the individual, maybe we Americans should start worrying as our so-called individualist society develops a cult of the group?What began as a recoil from hero worship ends as a conspiracy against creativity.An age without great men is one which

acquiesces in the drift of history. Such acquiescence is easy and seductive; the great appeal of fatalism, indeed, is as a refuge from the terror of responsibility. Where a belief in great men insistently reminds us that individuals can make a difference, fatalism reassures us that they can't. If our society has lost its wish for heroes and its ability to produce them, it may well turn out to have lost everything else as well."

ARE AMERICANS AFRAID OF RELIGION?

The December 1959 issue of Cosmopolitan contains some interesting and thought provoking articles:

"If Christ Walked The Earth Today" pp. 29-33. Short statements by Norman Vincent Peale, Aldous Huxley, Carl G. Jung, Ralph W. Sockman, Pitirim A. Sorokin, George N. Shuster, Billy Graham, John Sutherland Bonnell, Richard Sullivan, and Gustave Weigel.

A. C. Mulcahey, "Are Americans Afraid of Religion?" pp. 54-58. Our churches receive financial and faithful support unheard of throughout the rest of the world; yet Americans are suspicious of any demonstrations of religious convictions in their everyday lives. Here are problems that concern churchmen and laymen alike.

ENGINEERS URGED TO EXPLAIN ROLE

From the New York Times, Tuesday, February 3, 1959, p. 14:

(For full text see Electrical Engineering April 1959, pp. 298-301)

Dr. James R. Killian, Jr. urged engineers yesterday to help end public confusion over the role of the engineer.

Dr. Killian urged engineers to paint for the public an accurate picture of what the engineer does. He urged them to bring their point of view to national policy-making. The engineering profession, he said, has a mission and obligation to:

"Employ the art and science of engineering with zest and audacity to achieve a world in which people everywhere may lead free and abundant lives; to extend the recognition that engineering is a creative activity that augments man's dignity and understanding and that affords him intellectual adventure of the highest order.

"Stress those engineering aspects that enhance the quality of society; to recognize that outstanding accomplishments in engineering appeal deeply to the hopes and aspirations of men everywhere and contribute to the prestige and goodwill of nations.

"Recognize and seek rising requirements for excellence in education, the work and the professional standards of engineering; to create sound and correct public understanding of the work and function of engineers as professional men; to break down barriers separating engineers from sciences, humanities, and social sciences; to use the great opportunity inherent in engineering and science to promote international understanding and goodwill.

"Thoughtful men now see another role for scientists and engineers -- a new and creative role that is still only dimly grasped," he said. "Science and engineering are a common language understood the world over. By exchanging scientific and engineering viewpoints and working on common problems men of all nations may be drawn closer together."

DIFFERENT VERSIONS OF MANUSCRIPT ON SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY
PREPARED FOR DIFFERENT POTENTIAL READERS

Problem 1.2: What is the nature of the social responsibility of engineers?

The first manuscript prepared on this problem is included in Socio-Engineering Problems No. 1, August 1958. This version included the present codes of ethics used in the engineering profession and also made reference to some of the common principles of the major religious faiths. Some abridgement was needed to reduce the material to the size suitable for use at the Western Joint Computer Conference. The different stages through which the manuscript went as different specifications were developed are stated as separate problems as follows:

Problem 4.2: How can the ideas of Socio-Engineering Problems No. 1 be restated with a better historical base? How can these ideas on the social responsibility of engineers be related to the problems of public understanding of science and engineering?

Outline:

- Introduction: Recent articles in Computers and Automation, Lasswell's Social Planetariums, "need for climate in which workers can trust scientists, humanistic sciences being extended by computers.
- Art and Public Understanding: Filmstrip on the "Lost Symbols," Abstract art to represent problems of man and computer struggling to solve problems in a complex environment.
- Historical Developments: History of human civilization marked by stages of man's striving to achieve higher ethical standards, correlation between aims of major religious faiths, crises in the industrial revolution of a century ago, conditions which sparked Karl Marx to develop a theoretical analysis, August Comte's sociology, classification of the sciences, history social responsibility in engineering, danger of technocrats, cooperation of specialists in the T.V.A., need for postwar social science research program to protect our democratic concepts, failure of some businessmen to apply the ethics of their religion to business practice, experiments in social responsibility and public education of the atomic scientists.
- Engineering Ethics: Definition of engineering, "Faith of the Engineer," how does one interpret these codes of ethic?
- A Perspective of the Sciences: Classification of the sciences, relationship of the special fields of arts and science on "skyscraper" type chart,
- A Checking Chart Derived from the classification of the Sciences: Two-dimensional chart showing extent of coverage or "completeness," relationship to Ward's chart of synthetic creations of nature, usage in particular analyses.

Figures on three-dimensional chart, electrical communication, and biology, and a checking chart by types of phenomena and types of activity.

An Example of a Checking Chart Applied to the Work of a Famous Engineer: Charles Proteus Steinmetz (1865-1923), electrical engineering and mathematics, political activities as Socialist, observations on implications of engineering work, support of large corporations as practical way to bring electric power to the people, idealism of Steinmetz.

Potential Use of Checking Charts by Ordinary Engineers: Devices such as the checking chart may be used by engineers who do not have spare time, sharing of responsibility, determining which organizations are working on the problems, journals and organizations useful to maintain perspective.

Conclusions: Interest in social responsibility is a healthy sign, engineers need some perspective to which they can correlate their own work, a three-dimensional chart has been proposed for this perspective, a two-dimensional form or "checking chart" is proposed for use by individual engineers, engineer has a responsibility to refer questions to management, social scientist, government agencies, and to the citizens at large, President Eisenhower's request for a science of Peace.

Appendix A: Common Ideal

Appendix B: "Faith of the Engineer"

Bibliography:

- a) Recent References Relating to Computers and Automation
- b) Historical References on Technology, Society, and the Social Sciences, Social Relations of Engineering.
- c) References on the Last Decade of Social Responsibility in Engineering.
- d) References of the last decade on Social Responsibility in Science.
- e) Selected References on Engineering Ethics, Behavioral Sciences, and Social Responsibility.

The Bibliography for the above manuscript is reproduced here.

- a) Recent References Relating to Computers and Automation.
 1. Computers and Automation 1958 and 1959 issues. For more details see Soc.-Engin. Probs. No. 1, p. 4.
 2. Western Joint Computer Conference May 1958. See Proceedings issued by A.E.E.E. as publication T-107.
- b) Historical References on Technology, Society and the Social Relations of Engineering.
 3. John G. Harrell, "The Lost Symbols" Chicago: Society for Visual Education, Inc., Filmstrip C754-3.
 4. "The Industrial Revolution" Enc. Brit. (1945 Edition) pp. 12/303-306.

5. Karl Marx Capital, Vol. I, reprint of 1906 edition. N.Y.: Modern Library. Illustrations of the General Law of Capitalist Accumulation, pp. 711-783; Expropriation of the Agricultural Population from the Land, pp. 788-805; Bloody Legislation Against the Expropriated from the End of the 15th Century, pp. 805-816.
6. Samuel Chugerman, Lester F. Ward, The American Aristotle, Durham, N.C.: Duke University Press (1939) p. 192 For Ward's comparison of A. Comte's and H. Spencer's classification of the sciences see: Lester Ward, Pure Sociology, Second Edition, N.Y.: MacMillan (1925) p. 69. See also another chart in Applied Sociology.
7. E. L. Earp, The Social Engineer, N.Y.: Eaton and Mains (1911)
8. Jack London, The Iron Heel, N.Y.: Macmillan (1908), Reprint: Grayson (1948)
9. Sigmund Freud, The Interpretation of Dreams, (1900). Trans. by A. A. Brill (1913). Reprinted N.Y.: Modern Library (1950)
10. Harry Elmer Barnes, Historical Sociology, N.Y.: Philosophical Library (1948)
11. Technocracy Enc. Brit. (1953 edition) p. 21/874a.
12. George Orwell, Nineteen Eighty-Four, N.Y.: Harcourt Brace (1949)
13. David E. Lilienthal, IWA-Democracy On the March, N.Y.: Harper and Bros. (1944)
14. Frank B. Jewett and Robert W. King, "Engineering Progress and the Social Order" Science, vol. 92, pp. 365-371, Oct. 25, 1940. See also "A Way of Total Peace," Journal of Applied Physics, vol. 11, p. 749, Dec. 1940.
15. John Mills, The Engineer in Society, N.Y.: Van Nostrand (1946)

c) References of the Last Decade on Social Responsibility in Engineering.

16. M. L. Cooke, "Habit of Community Service," Mech. Eng., vol. 69, No. 12, Dec. 1947, pp. 1017-8.
17. F. A. Faville, "Teaching Our Way of Life," Mech. Eng., vol. 70, No. 5, May, 1948, pp. 404-6.
18. R. F. Flanders, "Engineering and Politics," Mech. Eng., vol. 75, No. 1, Jan. 1953, p. 16-8.
19. L. J. Fletcher, "Citizen Engineer - His Job," Mech. Eng. vol. 69, No. 11, Nov. 1947, pp. 911-14.
20. R. M. Gates, "How Engineers Can Promote International Understanding," Mech. Eng. vol. 72, No. 9, Sept. 1950, pp. 730-2.
21. C. Hinshaw, "Public Responsibility of Engineers," Mech. Eng. vol. 69, No. 1, Jan. 1947, pp. 13-5.
22. C. B. Tolliffe, "Relation of Engineering Profession to World Affairs," Inst. of Radio Eng.-Proc., vol 35, No. 8, Aug 1947, pp. 810-2
23. C. F. Kettering, "Engineers Responsibility in Educating the Public," Mech. Eng., vol. 75, No. 12, Dec 1953, pp. 953-6.
24. F. T. Letchfield, "Engineers Obligation to Society," Mech. Eng. Vol. 77, No. 3, Mar 1955, pp. 223-4.
25. W. C. Mullendore, "Social and Economic Responsibilities of Engineers," Elec. Eng., Vol. 68, No. 10, Oct. 1949, pp. 830-3.
26. L. M. Sears, "Engineer and Historian," Mech. Eng., Vol. 69, No. 7, July 1947, pp. 581-4.

29. P. Sporn, "Engineer, Machine Age, and World of Tomorrow," Gen. Elec. Rev., Vol. 54, No. 7, July 1951, pp. 16-20.
30. E. B. Warren, "Bringing Engineering Viewpoint to Bear on Public Affairs," Mech. Eng., Vol. 74, No. 12, Dec 1952, pp. 967-8.
- 30A. Dr. R. Yarnall, "Public Responsibility of Engineers," Mech. Eng., Vol. 69, No. 1, Jan 1947, pp. 5-6.
- 30B. Edwin Leyton, "The American Engineering Profession and the Idea of Social Responsibility," Ph.D. Dissertation, Univ. of Calif. at Los Angeles (Dec. 1956)
30. Theodore Levitt, "Dangers of Social Responsibility," Harvard Business Review, Sept-Oct 1958; pp. 41-50.
31. L. Finkelstein, "The Moral Failure of American Business," Fortune, Sept 1958, pp. 116-7, 194.

d) References of the last Decade on Social Responsibility in Science.

See pages 8 and 9.

e) Selected References on Engineering Ethics, Behavioral Sciences, and Social Responsibility.

65. Engineers Council for Professional Development, "Engineering as a Career," N.Y.: E.C.P.D. (1942), p. 6.
66. Engineers Council for Professional Development - A Record of Accomplishment - 1932 - 1947, N.Y.: E.C.P.D. (1947)
67. Lester F. Ward, Pure Sociology, Second Edition, N.Y.: Macmillan (1925), p. 94.
68. "Charles P. Steinmetz," Enc. Brit. (1953 edition)
69. John Winthrop Hammond, Charles Proteus Steinmetz A Biography, N.Y.: The Century Co. (1924)
70. Ibid. preface, p. viii.
- 71, 72. "National Support for Behavioral Science," Behav. Science Vol. 3 July 1958, pp. 217-227, esp. p. 225.

Supplementary References Not Used in Manuscript

101. National Science Foundation, Office of Social Studies. "A Selected Bibliography of Research and Development and Its Impact on the Economy," Report NSF-58-18, Washington, D.C., May 1958.
102. William A. Hamor, "The Role of Scientific Research in Human Relations," Advanced Management, Vol. XIII, No. 3, Sept 1948, pp. 131-134.
103. Kenneth E. Boulding, "Religious Foundations of Economic Progress," Harvard Business Review, May-June 1952.
104. Kenneth E. Boulding, "Symbols for Capitalism," Harvard Business Review, Vol. 37, No. 1, pp. 41-48.
105. Sir Lawrence Bragg, "Interpretation of Science to the Public," Nature, No. 4612, pp. 807-8, Mar 22, 1958.
106. Harry and Bonaro Overstreet, What We Must Know About Communism, N.Y.: W.W. Norton and Co. (1959)
107. "Why Social Irresponsibility?" SSRS Newsletter No. 78, p. 3, Oct 1958. Excerpt from: Esther Milner, "Social Irresponsibility Among Those in Higher Status Occupations: A Causal Analysis."
108. Herbert Jehle, "Alternative to Nuclear Weapons as Peace Safeguard Urged," Letter in N.Y. Times, Oct 19, 1958. Reprinted in SSRS Newsletter No. 78, Oct 1958.

BIBLIOGRAPHY ON SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY IN SCIENCE

32. James Franck "The Social Task of the Scientist" Bul. Atomic Sci., vol. 3, p. 70. (March 1947)
33. Louis Ridenour "Military Support of American Science, a Danger?" Bul. Atomic Sci. vol. 3, pp. 221-230. Including discussion of above by various authors. (August 1947)
34. Merle Miller "The Atomic Scientists in Politics" Bul. Atomic Sci., vol. 3, pp. 242, 252. (Sept. 1947)
35. Edward Teller "Atomic Scientists Have Two Responsibilities" Bul. Atomic Sci., vol. 3, pp. 355-6. (Dec. 1947)
36. J. Robert Oppenheimer "Physics in the Contemporary World" Bul. Atomic Sci. vol. 4, pp. 65-68, 85-86. (Mar. 1948)
37. P. W. Bridgman "Scientists and Social Responsibility" Bul. Atomic Sci. vol. 4, pp. 69-72. (Mar. 1948)
Comments on Dr. Bridgman's Article: pp. 72-75.
38. A. Einstein "On the Moral Obligation of the Scientist" Impact, vol. I, pp. 104-5. (Oct.-Dec. 1950)
39. E. Roux "Electricity, the Decisive Factor in Modern Civilization" Impact vol. I, pp. 115-6. (Oct.-Dec. 1950)
40. F. Wheeler Loomis "Can Physics Serve Two Masters?" Bul. Atomic Sci. vol. 6, pp. 115-120. (April 1950)
41. Henry D. Smyth "The Place of Science in a Free Society" Bul. Atomic Sci. vol. 6, pp. 130-5. (June 1950) also Amer. Sci., Vol. 38, pp. 426-36, July 1950.
42. Geoffrey Chew "Academic Freedom on Trial at the University of California" Bul. Atomic Sci. vol. 6, pp. 333-6. (Nov. 1950)
43. R. J. Forbes "Technology and Society" Impact vol. II, pp. 7-9. (Jan.-Mar. 1951)
44. Lewis Mumford "Technics and Science" Impact vol. II, pp. 10-11. (Jan.-Mar. 1951)
45. Georges Friedman "The Technocrats and the Technicians' Civilization" Impact vol. II, pp. 12-14. (Jan.-Mar. 1951)
46. "Technology and Society Abstracts. IV. The Industrial Revolution and its Aftermath"
"III. The Middle Ages, their Roots and the Rise of Applied Science" Impact vol. II, pp. 22-26. (Jan.-Mar. 1951)

47. Bertrand Russell "Science and Values" Impact vol. II, pp. 35-7. (Apr.-Jun. 1951)
48. Leslie A. Bryan "Social Effects of Aviation" Impact vol. II, pp. 41-46. (Apr.-Jun 1951)
49. "Science and Society French Bibliography 1900-50" Impact vol. II, No. 3-4, July-Dec. 1951
50. "Five Years After" (Editorial) Bul. Atomic Sci. vol. VII, pp. 3-5, 12. (Jan. 1951)
51. Albert Einstein "On the Moral Obligation of the Scientist" Bull. Atomic Sci. vol. VII, pp. 34-5 (Feb. 1952)
52. A. V. Hill "The Ethical Dilemma of Science" Bul. Atomic Sci. vol. VIII, pp. 262-266. (Nov. 1952)
53. "Ten Years After" (Editorial) Bul. Atomic Sci. vol. VIII, pp. 294-5, 314. (Dec. 1952)
54. H. J. Muller "Will Science Continue?" Bul. Atomic Sci., vol. VIII, pp. 301-7. (Dec. 1952)
55. Lewis Mumford "Anticipations and Social Adjustments in Science" Bul. Atomic Sci. vol. X, pp. 34-36. (Feb. 1954)
56. Richard L. Meier "Automatic and Economic Development" Bul. Atomic Sci. vol. X, pp. 129-133. (Apr. 1954)
57. "World Conference of Scientists: Speeches of Bertrand Russell, Lord Beveridge, and A. V. Topchiev" Bul. Atomic Sci. vol. XII, pp. 41-44. (Feb. 1956)
58. Norbert Wiener "Moral Reflections of a Mathematician" Bul. Atomic Sci. vol. XII, pp. 53-7. (Feb. 1956)
59. Pierre Auger "Science as a Force for Unity among Men" Bul. Atomic Sci. Vol. XII, pp. 208-210. (June 1956)
60. Eugene Rabinowitch "History's Challenge to Scientists" Bul. Atomic Sci. vol XII, pp. 238-240. (Sept. 1956)
61. Clifford Grobstein "The Social Conscience of U.S. Science: Sketch of a Decade" Bul. Atomic Sci. vol. XII, pp. 241-6. (Sept. 1956)
62. Alexander Haddow "The Scientist as Citizen" Bul. Atomic Sci. vol. XII, pp. 247-252. (Sept. 1956)
63. Daniel Dubarle "The Scientist and His Responsibilities" Bul. Atomic Sci. vol. XII, pp. 253-7. (Sept. 1956)
64. Warren Weaver "Science and the Citizen" Bul. Atomic Sci. vol. XIII, pp. 361-365. (Dec. 1957)

After review of the manuscript outlined above, it appeared that it would be better if a historian could write about the historical development of the interest in social responsibility on the part of engineers. Then I could build upon a more rigorous base and deal only with the recent developments. After checking upon what historical studies were in process, it was concluded that no historical studies would be published in time for reference in a 1959 W.J.C.C. paper. This led to a restatement of the problem as stated below.

Problem 4.3: How can the idea of the social responsibility of engineers be developed/be restated briefly in a way that is based upon (a) historical sociology in general, and (b) recent papers such as the panel on the social consequences of automation at the 1958 W.J.C.C.?

Outline and Abstract:

A Checking Chart for the Use of Computer Engineers in Developing Social Responsibility.

Some recent papers on the social responsibility of computer scientists and the social problems of automation are reviewed. A classification of the sciences derived from the work of early sociologists is used to develop a simplified perspective for the engineer. This classification table is transformed into a "checking chart" for use by engineers in determining the extent to which the social problems relating to their work are being covered. This leads to a limited concept of social responsibility that is believed to be easier for the average engineer to take on as an obligation. Namely, the social responsibility of the engineer is to be a kind of coordinator to make certain that the social problems related to his physical engineering work are being studied and that there are provisions made by our society to explain the basic principles and significance of science to the voters in our democracy.

Introduction: Recent articles, W.J.C.C. panel on social consequences of automation. Is there some order to these problems?

A Checking Chart Derived From a Classification of the Sciences: Historical sociology, fields of knowledge arranged in order of increasing complexity, basic activities arranged in order in which each stage is dependent on stages to left, primary and secondary influences.

An Example of a Checking Chart Applied to the Work of a Famous Engineer: Charles Proteus Steinmetz (1865-1923), basic work in physics and chemistry applied to electrical engineering, political activities as Socialist, how engineering can bring benefits to the common people everywhere through capitalist development of large corporations

Example of 1958 WJCC Panel on Social Problems of Automation: Social Planetarium concept of Lasswell, suggestion by Schaefer that scientists can establish climate in which workers can trust scientists, statement by Hurd that if automation is restricted to information processing automation extends man's thinking and results in no serious social problems. Illustration of these viewpoints on checking chart.