Harvard University Cambridge 38, Mass. June 23, 1947

Dear Fred.

Thanks for your contribution to the Yorld Federation of Scientific Workers. I was glad to see the outline of your seminar paper; it gives me the impression that you have succeeded in integrate ing your natural and social science work pretty thoroughly. I can't say that I've done the same: My courses in the Social Relations Department at Harvard have been a rather abrupt transition for me - but none the less an interesting one. The closest connection I find at present is one of mathematics, as it appears in statistics. If one gets to larger philosophical issues rather than techniques, there are of course the fundamental similarities of all the empirical sciences (in which I include the social sciences - at least the parts that have a future). Ind finally I feel that all the sciences are alike in that they ere oblivious of ethics. Propaganda devised by our highly developed social science may be as dangerous a weapon of warfare as the stomic bomb, and the percetime applications of the same art promise well to have a similar effect on our minds (through the radio and other media of communication) as the smoke that pours over our cities has on the air we breathe. Therefore I look not to social science in itself as the salvation of our oul ture. but rether to its perticipation in a more clearly defined ethics, whereby we may strive for agreement without resorting to the iders of undefined 'absolute' good which successfully put in end to any ethical argument.

I've been to ching half time in Harvard's General Education program - assisting Prof. Numble in one of the introductory science courses. Next year I hope that we will start to ching the students a little philosophy of science and something like semantics. It is in this part of what science teaches that I feel it can be really useful in 'general education'; the construction of concepts, made concrete by demonstrations, can be an effective way of showing the student how to put time empirical observation in correspondence with a system of concepts.

/ friend of mine at California - a graduate student in physics named Bob Gelinas - has told me of some interesting study he has been doind in the philosophy department there, under a man named Rynin. Apparently Tynin is something of a semanticist, having published an edition of the works of a philospher of the last century named Johnson this spring).

The influence of specific scientific techniques on social development is something I hope to learn about eventually, for the sime reasons you do (I think) - because I know something about science. The view that science is the determining factor in social shange is not a popular one here, and seems to have a label of Marxism. I can't appraise this yet.

Sincorely, Lancan MacRee